CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

08/01/2024

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
BY: IGU DEPUTY

David Stebbins (pro se Plaintiff) (870) 212-4947

123 W. Ridge Ave., APT D, Harrison, AR 72601 acerthorn@yahoo.com

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DAVID STEBBINS, PLAINTIFF

VS. <u>Case 8:24-cv-01486-JVS-KES</u>

JARROD JONES DEFENDANTS

MOTION TO SET HEARING FOR MOTION FOR LIQUIDATED DAMAGES (DKT. 79) AND FOR LEAVE TO APPEAR REMOTELY

Comes now, pro se Plaintiff David Stebbins, who hereby submits the following Motion to Set the Motion for Liquidated Damages for oral argument, and for leave to attend said oral argument remotely.

- 1. On Tuesday, July 30, 2024, I filed a Motion for Liquidated Damages, seeking to avail myself of the right sunder our settlement agreement. See Dkt. 79. On July 31, 2024, pro se Defendant Jarrod Jones filed his Response in Opposition to that motion. See Dkt. 80. On the morning of August 1, 2024, I submitted my Reply to his Response via EDSS. See Dkt. 81.
- 2. The briefings on this motion are now completed, and the parties now patiently await the Court's ruling.
- 3. I ask the Court to set an oral argument for this motion, since granting it would be dispositive of the Liquidated Damages Clause, so it is very important that the Court understand the facts fully.
- 4. I also ask that the Court grant me leave to appear in the case remotely, either telephonically or via zoom. I live two time zones away, so I cannot travel to Los Angeles to attend this hearing in person. If the Court refuses to grant me leave to attend remotely, then my only other option is to abstain from having a hearing at all, since I cannot attend otherwise.
- 5. Wherefore, premises considered, I respectfully pray that this oral argument be scheduled promptly, or at the very least, that the motion be ruled on so quickly that an oral argument is deemed unnecessary.

So requested on this, the 1st day of August, 2024.

David Stebbins (pro se